

Feedback Summary

Course Evaluation Type: Final Evaluation	Faculty Name: BANSAL,SORAV	<input type="button" value="Print"/>
Course ID: CSL 633	Total students in the course: 15	
Course Component	Total students participated in feedback: 7	
Semester: II Semester 2014-15	Faculty Feedback Rating: 4.01	

Objective Questions Summary

Section: Design of Experiments

Question No: 1

Description: To what extent did the experiments provide new insights and /or encourage you to think creatively? **Rating:** 4.71

Rating / Response	Student Count
1-Poor	0
2	0
3	0
4	2
5-Excellent	5
No Opinion	0

Question No: 2

Description: Were adequate background material / instructions provided for carrying out the experiments? **Rating:** 4.00

Rating / Response	Student Count
1-Poor	0
2	0
3	1
4	3
5-Excellent	1
No Opinion	2

Section: Organization of Lab and conducting of Experiments

Question No: 1

Description: To what extent was systematic execution of lab work emphasized? **Rating:** 4.33

Rating / Response	Student Count
1-Poor	0
2	0
3	0
4	4
5-Excellent	2
No Opinion	1

Question No: 2

Description: To what extent was analysis of observations/ results encouraged? **Rating:** 4.00

Rating / Response	Student Count
1-Poor	0
2	0
3	1
4	5
5-Excellent	1
No Opinion	0

Question No: 3

Description: To what extent was the teacher involved in the practical work? **Rating:** 3.00

Rating / Response	Student Count
1-Poor	1

2	1
3	2
4	1
5-Excellent	1
No Opinion	1

Section: Evaluation**Question No:** 1**Description:** Was the feedback on your lab performance prompt?

Rating / Response	Student Count
No	0
No Opinion	1
Yes	6

Question No: 2**Description:** Was the feedback on your laboratory work useful?

Rating / Response	Student Count
No	0
No Opinion	2
Yes	5

Subjective Questions Summary**Section:** General Comments**Question No:** 1**Description:** What did you like most about this course?

Feedback	View All	First	1-2 of 2
1 Timely feedback. Well thought of assignments.			
2 In-house lab assignments, very systematic starting from a simple code till an application. Provided understanding of concepts in an organized manner.			

Section: General Comments**Question No:** 2**Description:** What did you dislike the most about this course?

Feedback	View All	First	1-3 of 3
1 In the middle Lab 3 got disorganized. However, it was quite nice otherwise.			
2 The lab assignments from lab2 till lab3 were not handled properly and led to deferring of the deadlines and scope reduction.			
3 Sometimes, the problem is not clear or very less content is provided.			

Section: General Comments**Question No:** 3**Description:** How effective was the help provided by the teaching assistants?

Feedback	View All	First	1-3 of 3
1 Quite nice			
2 Prompt help. Hats off to Deepak Ravi.			
3 Deepak is very helpful. He makes sure we understand the background and fundamental, rest we can do by our self.			

Section: General Comments

Question No: 4

Description: Were the facilities/equipments adequate for the experiments?

View All |   First  1-3 of 3 

Feedback

- 1 Good
- 2 Yes.
- 3 yes

Section: General Comments

Question No: 5

Description: Any Suggestions or comments about the course

View All |   First  1-2 of 2 

Feedback

- 1 Keep up the good work.
HoH is nice idea.
- 2 This lab series being stable now can be used for this course in upcoming years or could be extended to include some more challenging assignments. It would be good to monitor consistency of grading across TAs for lab demos. Lab demos should start on time, not delayed by an hour all the time. It just spoils the complete schedule for students.