

## Feedback Summary

**Course Evaluation Type:** Final Evaluation**Faculty Name:** BANSAL, SORAV

Print

**Course ID:** CSL 633**Total students in the course:** 15**Course Component** Lecture**Total students participated in feedback:** 7**Semester:** II Semester 2014-15**Faculty Feedback Rating:** 4.39

## Objective Questions Summary

**Section:** Course Organization & Delivery**Question No:** 1**Description:** How clearly was the course plan presented?**Rating:** 4.50

Rating / Response	Student Count
1-Poor	0
2	0
3	0
4	3
5-Excellent	3
No Opinion	1

**Question No:** 2**Description:** Did the course content met course objectives adequately?**Rating:** 4.40

Rating / Response	Student Count
1-Poor	0
2	0
3	0
4	3
5-Excellent	2
No Opinion	2

**Question No:** 3**Description:** How were the lectures organized in terms of clarity and presentation of concepts?**Rating:** 4.40

Rating / Response	Student Count
1-Poor	0
2	0
3	1
4	1
5-Excellent	3
No Opinion	2

**Question No:** 4**Description:** How effectively could the teacher communicate?**Rating:** 4.60

Rating / Response	Student Count
1-Poor	0
2	0
3	0
4	2
5-Excellent	3
No Opinion	2

**Question No:** 5**Description:** How receptive was the teacher to questions inside and outside the classroom?**Rating:** 4.40

Rating / Response	Student Count
1-Poor	0
2	0
3	0
4	3
5-Excellent	2

No Opinion

2

**Question No: 6****Description:** How much did the teacher encourage independent thinking?**Rating:** 4.20

Rating / Response	Student Count
1-Poor	0
2	0
3	1
4	2
5-Excellent	2
No Opinion	2

**Question No: 7****Description:** How much did the teacher encourage logical thinking?**Rating:** 4.40

Rating / Response	Student Count
1-Poor	0
2	0
3	0
4	3
5-Excellent	2
No Opinion	2

**Section: Learning****Question No: 1****Description:** How much did the course help you learn the subject?**Rating:** 4.60

Rating / Response	Student Count
1-Poor	0
2	0
3	0
4	2
5-Excellent	3
No Opinion	2

**Question No: 2****Description:** How effective was the teacher(s) in keeping your interest alive in the course?**Rating:** 4.20

Rating / Response	Student Count
1-Poor	0
2	0
3	2
4	0
5-Excellent	3
No Opinion	2

**Question No: 3****Description:** To what extent did the teacher(s) inspire you to pursue the subject area further?**Rating:** 4.20

Rating / Response	Student Count
1-Poor	0
2	0
3	1
4	2
5-Excellent	2
No Opinion	2

**Section: Exams & Evaluation****Question No: 1****Description:** Did the examinations test understanding of the course?

Rating / Response	Student Count
No	0
No Opinion	3
Yes	4

**Question No:** 2

**Description:** Were the question papers of an appropriate length?

Rating / Response	Student Count
No	1
No Opinion	2
Yes	4

**Question No:** 3

**Description:** Was the evaluation prompt?

Rating / Response	Student Count
No	1
No Opinion	3
Yes	3

**Question No:** 4

**Description:** Were the evaluated answer scripts shown to you?

Rating / Response	Student Count
No	0
No Opinion	2
Yes	5

**Question No:** 5

**Description:** Did the evaluation help you to understand your mistakes?

Rating / Response	Student Count
No	2
No Opinion	3
Yes	2

**Section:** General Questions

**Question No:** 1

**Description:** How would you rate the work-load of this course?

Rating / Response	Student Count
Just Right	0
No Opinion	2
Too Heavy	5
Very Little	0

**Question No:** 2

**Description:** Were the lectures held regularly?

Rating / Response	Student Count
No	0
No Opinion	2
Yes	5

**Question No:** 3

**Description:** Were the lectures held on time?

Rating / Response	Student Count
No	0
No Opinion	2
Yes	5

**Question No:** 4

**Description:** Was the course evaluation policy announced at the beginning of the semester?

Rating / Response	Student Count
No	0
No Opinion	2
Yes	5

**Question No:** 5

**Description:** Was the attendance policy announced at the beginning of the semester?

Rating / Response	Student Count
No	0
No Opinion	2
Yes	5

**Question No:** 6

**Description:** Did the teacher take note of the mid-semester feedback?

Rating / Response	Student Count
No	1
No Opinion	4
Yes	2

**Question No:** 7

**Description:** Was there a significant variation among the different lecture sections?

Rating / Response	Student Count
No	3
No Opinion	3
Yes	1

**Section:** General Comments

**Question No:** 1

**Description:** Would you consider this course as one of the five best-taught courses you have done so far?





Rating / Response	Student Count
No	0
No Opinion	3
Yes	4

### Subjective Questions Summary

**Section:** General Comments

**Question No:** 1

**Description:** What did you like the most about this course?

View All     First  1-3 of 3 	
Feedback	
1 Clear and concise explanation to core OS concepts	
2 Very well structured course, use of real code of XV6 to explain concepts, superb lecture delivering skill of the instructor and always open to discussion/queries.	
3 Video lectures are good.	

**Section:** General Comments

**Question No:** 2

**Description:** What did you dislike the most about this course?





View All     First  1-3 of 3 	
Feedback	
1 Heavy.	
Lab marks weightage can be increased.	

- Grading scheme - negative marking for rechecking request !!! Why? Isn't this violating the open feedback culture of IIT?? Why can't the person who checked my scripts clarify my doubts then itself. Isn't this hampering learning when I don't know why I wasn't awarded marks in a question? It
- 2 is not necessary that answers match exactly to the key provided by instructor. I understand that class is of huge size, but so is the case with other subjects. Moreover, such a policy makes sense if your TAs are doing quality job and you have high confidence on quality of checking. Same question, same answer by 2 people - different marks awarded. Why? And to claim the same, I have to evaluate tradeoff of -1 versus gain?
  - 3 Sometimes, i was not able to relate the content in the lectures with the real-world.

**Section:** General Comments

**Question No:** 3





**Description:** Any Suggestions or comments about the course

View All     First  1-2 of 2 	
Feedback	
1	<p>Good course</p> <p>Great course, keep it up. I am sure this kind of course justifies the brand IIT.</p> <p>Suggestion: To ease evaluation, if that is a concern, use objective type questions or questions where ambiguity will not be there in solutions. Or, atleast randomly sample some scripts to check how TAs are doing.</p> <p>Do not ask the same TA to recheck the copies - it just defeats the purpose of rechecking, especially when you have 1 mark penalty rule.</p>

**Section:** Faculty Section

**Question No:** 1

**Description:** How did you like the HoH assignments? How would you compare them to Pintos (if you know about Pintos assignments)?

View All     First  1-4 of 4 	
Feedback	
1	<p>Pintos : Donot know</p> <p>HoH assignments were pretty good, structured. Best thing is that they are purely in-house and can be easily modified/improvised as per the need and with time. Another aspect is that they require thinking, though the coding required is a few lines and gradually increase the complexity. Great work by TA Deepak Ravi in rolling out this assignment series. There was some mismanagement during lab2-lab3 period, hope it doesn't repeat.</p>
3	<p>HoH assignments were good in a sense that they provided a good understanding of an OS from very beginning.</p>
4	<p>The assignment statements were not clear. Also some more comments in the code would have helped.</p>