

Feedback Summary

Course Evaluation Type: Final Evaluation**Faculty Name:** BANSAL, SORAV

Print

Course ID: CSL 373**Total students in the course:** 121**Course Component** Practicum**Total students participated in feedback:** 96**Semester:** II Semester 2014-15**Faculty Feedback Rating:** 3.52

Objective Questions Summary

Section: Design of Experiments**Question No:** 1**Description:** To what extent did the experiments provide new insights and /or encourage you to think creatively? **Rating:** 3.64

Rating / Response	Student Count
1-Poor	5
2	2
3	15
4	16
5-Excellent	15
No Opinion	43

Question No: 2**Description:** Were adequate background material / instructions provided for carrying out the experiments? **Rating:** 3.47

Rating / Response	Student Count
1-Poor	7
2	5
3	11
4	13
5-Excellent	15
No Opinion	45

Section: Organization of Lab and conducting of Experiments**Question No:** 1**Description:** To what extent was systematic execution of lab work emphasized? **Rating:** 3.49

Rating / Response	Student Count
1-Poor	6
2	2
3	15
4	17
5-Excellent	11
No Opinion	45

Question No: 2**Description:** To what extent was analysis of observations/ results encouraged? **Rating:** 3.66

Rating / Response	Student Count
1-Poor	5
2	3
3	10
4	18
5-Excellent	14
No Opinion	46

Question No: 3**Description:** To what extent was the teacher involved in the practical work? **Rating:** 3.34

Rating / Response	Student Count
1-Poor	7

2	5
3	18
4	9
5-Excellent	14
No Opinion	43

Section: Evaluation**Question No:** 1**Description:** Was the feedback on your lab performance prompt?

Rating / Response	Student Count
No	11
No Opinion	51
Yes	34

Question No: 2**Description:** Was the feedback on your laboratory work useful?

Rating / Response	Student Count
No	6
No Opinion	55
Yes	35

Subjective Questions Summary**Section:** General Comments**Question No:** 1**Description:** What did you like most about this course?

Feedback
1 The content
2 Worked with real OS
3 Labs were good.
4 Interesting approach towards assignments. Help sessions really helpful.
5 The labs provided us an opportunity to implement an OS and the TAs Deepak Ravi and Vivek Mittal were very nice.
6 the teacher
7 -
8 -
9 Nice Course
10 It was the most disgusting course I can ever think of.
11 We got to implement a part of OS on our own
12 The labs. Not only were the concepts taught, but we actually implemented an OS ourselves.
13 -
14 Very interesting and newest os style.
15 null
16 Really taught assembly programming and working on a real Operating Systems. Strengthened theoretical concepts.
17 -
18 Assignments were not good
19 everything
20 Interesting concepts to learn
21 contents
22 The video lectures and the contents only.
23 Video lectures available
24 labs were really useful
25 hoh labs
26 Everything

Section: General Comments

Question No: 2

Description: What did you dislike the most about this course?

Feedback	
1	The labs
2	Extremely difficult to understand problem descriptions, grossly inadequate instructions. Impossible to solve assignments without group discussion with others
3	Nothing
4	Too heavy. Not much info given before assignments.
5	Although the assignments provided an opportunity to implement an OS, I think that the knowledge gained from the labs was comparatively less than the efforts put in completing the labs.
6	the labs
7	-
8	-
9	Nice Course Here is an analogy to the labs given to us. Suppose a student is in 5th class who hasn't heard of trigonometry and you ask him to prove $\sin^2 x + \cos^2 = 1$. And you say this is very easy!!!...Nobody was able to do the labs on their own. The professor was not involved at all. He doesn't care if the students are facing any difficulties. The demos were taken for hrs and TAs used to show their knowledge and say guys you don't know anything!!!
11	It was more time consuming than other CS course assignments
12	Demos marks.
13	- The assignments, although did provide some insights into the development of operating systems, the actual coding part was very less. Most of the time, we were just sitting idle, and pondering over what could be the required aim of the labs. The details provided were very less in general, and only after we had wasted away a week or two on the lab, did we have a lab session, in which more information was given. Basically, the labs followed a different model of kernel, while we were taught monolithic kernel in class. So it is near impossible for us to do the assignments on our own, with the very initial information provided for each lab. Hence, I think, the lab statements should
14	have been more descriptive of the model of the design. In the end situation was this, that I didn't even start thinking over lab 5 before the help session was organized. On the other hand I realize that this was the first time this was done. Hopefully for next batches, appropriate information would be given. Another point is that due to this, we did very less coding. I have heard from seniors that they did quite an extensive amount of coding in their OS course, which gave them a lot of systems level coding experience. We on the other hand, just kept pondering over the assignment statement, and focus on coding part was very less.
15	Details.
16	null
17	Assignments were not at all understandable in the beginning. Took just too much time to start working/understand.
18	-
19	Assignments
20	everything
21	Low quality of classroom teaching due to availability of lecture videos
22	work load
23	Nothing The introduction of hohlabs as part of assignment shouldn't be included. Its always very monotonous when a person has to write a code under some other's. Also importantly it didn't provide any learning because the goal was to make things run. Neither I nor most of the people understood what they were doing. So assignments should be changed next time.
25	labs are continuous
26	the exams were a little too tough
27	-
28	Nothing

Section: General Comments

Question No: 3

Description: How effective was the help provided by the teaching assistants?

Feedback	
1	Not very much
2	Very good, they worked hard
3	Really helpful.

- 4 It was good, and prompt.
- 5 Good.
- 6 The help provided by Deepak sir was very very effective.
- 7 ineffective
- 8 -
- 9 -
- 10 Nice Course
- 11 very effective
- 12 Oh man leave help aside they were the main problem in the assignments. Their questions in the demos were disgusting. Their reaction to your hardwork was pathetic.
- 13 It was good
- 14 Great!
- 15 Not much
- 16 -
- 17 Deepak Ravi especially was very helpful. Though he could have given more information prior.
- 18 Good. Alice was exceptionally well in solving our doubts.
- 19 null
- 20 Good
- 21 -
- 22 great work done by TAs especially Deepak
- 23 Very nice
- 24 everything
- 25 Helpful
- 26 good
- 27 The TAs responses were prompt and effective.
- 28 Their were efforts from one of the TA but that just didn't help.
- 29 good
- 30 really helpful
- 31 TAs are cooperative an dpatirnt enough
- 32 Piazza was very helpful

Section: General Comments

Question No: 4

Description: Were the facilities/equipments adequate for the experiments?

Feedback	
1	I do not know.
2	yes
3	Yes
4	yes
5	no
6	-
7	-
8	Nice Course
9	Sometimes the PCs didn't work in GCI and if you mail the professor about this he won't even reply.
10	Yes
11	Yup
12	Yes
13	-
14	Yes.
15	Yes
16	-
17	yup
18	everything
19	Lab code documentation was not available
20	yes
21	Yes
22	yes
23	yes
24	yes
25	Yes

Section: General Comments**Question No:** 5**Description:** Any Suggestions or comments about the course

Feedback	
1	Please decrease the load of the labs
2	Please make assignments such that they can be done individually. and provide enough background material to enable them to do so
3	Labs should be more descriptive. I mean it was the case that only 1-2 person were able to do it on their own and else were just asking them and doing. There was no enjoyment in doing so.
4	Should be more structured. More is expected out of Bansal Sir.
5	no
6	-
7	The labs were totally unrelated to the lectures and would hardly help in reinforcing the concepts. The documentation of labs was not good and thus we would have to discuss code amongst ourselves to understand it. Even when things worked perfectly, it was more by hit-n-trial rather than understanding things.
8	-
9	Nice Course
10	Yeah please leave the course and let some other prof take it. I hope the students will learn then.
11	NA
12	The labs were a little hard.
13	-
14	null
15	Please make the assignments more clear and understandable.
16	-
17	Do not give such type of assignments.
18	everything
19	Teach it like in the lecture videos - with lot of interest and in detail
20	no
21	Labs should be more descriptive.
22	The assignment should be changed. And atleast there should be some extra session for explaining what we performed. Because the assignments constituted only debugging part.
23	nothing
24	no
25	deepak ravi sir is awesome
26	NO ITS FINE