

Feedback Summary

| | | | | |
|-------------------------|---------------------|--|--------------|-----------------------|
| Course Evaluation Type: | Final Evaluation | Faculty Name: | BANSAL,SORAV | Print |
| Course ID: | CSL 373 | Total students in the course: | 100 | |
| Course Component | Lecture | Total students participated in feedback: | 77 | |
| Semester: | II Semester 2012-13 | Faculty Feedback Rating: | 4.57 | |

Objective Questions Summary

Section: Course Organization & Delivery

Question No: 1

Description: How clearly was the course plan presented?

Rating: 4.57

| Rating / Response | Student Count |
|-------------------|---------------|
| 1-Poor | 0 |
| 2 | 0 |
| 3 | 5 |
| 4 | 13 |
| 5-Excellent | 35 |
| No Opinion | 24 |

Question No: 2

Description: Did the course content met course objectives adequately?

Rating: 4.69

| Rating / Response | Student Count |
|-------------------|---------------|
| 1-Poor | 0 |
| 2 | 0 |
| 3 | 2 |
| 4 | 12 |
| 5-Excellent | 38 |
| No Opinion | 25 |

Question No: 3

Description: How were the lectures organized in terms of clarity and presentation of concepts?

Rating: 4.64

| Rating / Response | Student Count |
|-------------------|---------------|
| 1-Poor | 0 |
| 2 | 1 |
| 3 | 2 |
| 4 | 12 |
| 5-Excellent | 38 |
| No Opinion | 24 |

Question No: 4

Description: How effectively could the teacher communicate?

Rating: 4.56

| Rating / Response | Student Count |
|-------------------|---------------|
| 1-Poor | 1 |
| 2 | 1 |
| 3 | 3 |
| 4 | 10 |
| 5-Excellent | 37 |
| No Opinion | 25 |

Question No: 5

| | |
|--|----------------------|
| Description: How receptive was the teacher to questions inside and outside the classroom? | Rating: 4.48 |
| Rating / Response | Student Count |
| 1-Poor | 1 |
| 2 | 1 |
| 3 | 2 |
| 4 | 16 |
| 5-Excellent | 32 |
| No Opinion | 25 |

Question No: 6

| | |
|--|----------------------|
| Description: How much did the teacher encourage independent thinking? | Rating: 4.61 |
| Rating / Response | Student Count |
| 1-Poor | 0 |
| 2 | 0 |
| 3 | 3 |
| 4 | 14 |
| 5-Excellent | 34 |
| No Opinion | 26 |

Question No: 7

| | |
|--|----------------------|
| Description: How much did the teacher encourage logical thinking? | Rating: 4.58 |
| Rating / Response | Student Count |
| 1-Poor | 0 |
| 2 | 1 |
| 3 | 4 |
| 4 | 11 |
| 5-Excellent | 36 |
| No Opinion | 25 |

Section: Learning**Question No:** 1

| | |
|---|----------------------|
| Description: How much did the course help you learn the subject? | Rating: 4.70 |
| Rating / Response | Student Count |
| 1-Poor | 0 |
| 2 | 0 |
| 3 | 3 |
| 4 | 10 |
| 5-Excellent | 40 |
| No Opinion | 24 |

Question No: 2

| | |
|--|----------------------|
| Description: How effective was the teacher(s) in keeping your interest alive in the course? | Rating: 4.58 |
| Rating / Response | Student Count |
| 1-Poor | 0 |
| 2 | 0 |
| 3 | 7 |
| 4 | 8 |
| 5-Excellent | 37 |
| No Opinion | 25 |

Question No: 3**Description:** To what extent did the teacher(s) inspire you to pursue the subject area further?**Rating:** 4.33

| Rating / Response | Student Count |
|-------------------|---------------|
| 1-Poor | 1 |
| 2 | 2 |
| 3 | 7 |
| 4 | 10 |
| 5-Excellent | 31 |
| No Opinion | 26 |

Section: Exams & Evaluation**Question No:** 1**Description:** Did the examinations test understanding of the course?

| Rating / Response | Student Count |
|-------------------|---------------|
| No | 3 |
| No Opinion | 29 |
| Yes | 45 |

Question No: 2**Description:** Were the question papers of an appropriate length?

| Rating / Response | Student Count |
|-------------------|---------------|
| No | 3 |
| No Opinion | 28 |
| Yes | 46 |

Question No: 3**Description:** Was the evaluation prompt?

| Rating / Response | Student Count |
|-------------------|---------------|
| No | 4 |
| No Opinion | 27 |
| Yes | 46 |

Question No: 4**Description:** Were the evaluated answer scripts shown to you?

| Rating / Response | Student Count |
|-------------------|---------------|
| No | 1 |
| No Opinion | 26 |
| Yes | 50 |

Question No: 5**Description:** Did the evaluation help you to understand your mistakes?

| Rating / Response | Student Count |
|-------------------|---------------|
| No | 11 |
| No Opinion | 30 |
| Yes | 36 |

Section: General Questions

Question No: 1**Description:** How would you rate the work-load of this course?

| <u>Rating / Response</u> | <u>Student Count</u> |
|--------------------------|----------------------|
| Just Right | 19 |
| No Opinion | 26 |
| Too Heavy | 32 |
| Very Little | 0 |

Question No: 2**Description:** Were the lectures held regularly?

| <u>Rating / Response</u> | <u>Student Count</u> |
|--------------------------|----------------------|
| No | 4 |
| No Opinion | 25 |
| Yes | 48 |

Question No: 3**Description:** Were the lectures held on time?

| <u>Rating / Response</u> | <u>Student Count</u> |
|--------------------------|----------------------|
| No | 3 |
| No Opinion | 26 |
| Yes | 48 |

Question No: 4**Description:** Was the course evaluation policy announced at the beginning of the semester?

| <u>Rating / Response</u> | <u>Student Count</u> |
|--------------------------|----------------------|
| No | 4 |
| No Opinion | 28 |
| Yes | 45 |

Question No: 5**Description:** Was the attendance policy announced at the beginning of the semester?

| <u>Rating / Response</u> | <u>Student Count</u> |
|--------------------------|----------------------|
| No | 5 |
| No Opinion | 28 |
| Yes | 44 |

Question No: 6**Description:** Did the teacher take note of the mid-semester feedback?

| <u>Rating / Response</u> | <u>Student Count</u> |
|--------------------------|----------------------|
| No | 8 |
| No Opinion | 47 |
| Yes | 22 |

Question No: 7**Description:** Was there a significant variation among the different lecture sections?

| <u>Rating / Response</u> | <u>Student Count</u> |
|--------------------------|----------------------|
| No | 14 |
| No Opinion | 37 |
| Yes | 26 |

Section: General Comments

Question No: 1

Description: Would you consider this course as one of the five best-taught courses you have done so far?

| Rating / Response | Student Count |
|-------------------|---------------|
| No | 10 |
| No Opinion | 32 |
| Yes | 35 |

Subjective Questions Summary

Section: General Comments

Question No: 1

Description: What did you like the most about this course?

| Feedback | |
|------------|--|
| View 5 | |
| | |
| | |
| First | |
| 1-25 of 25 | |
| 1 | Excellently organised, course objectives very clear and modular |
| 2 | The course helped learn a lot about operating systems. It was very rich in information content. |
| 3 | The labs. They provided great insight into the understanding of system. The instructor was also excellent in conveying concepts to us - highly patient to make us understand everything. |
| 4 | Labs were quite interesting. |
| 5 | The course is nicely designed and handled. |
| 6 | teaching :) |
| 7 | Nice & enthusiastic attitude of the teacher towards teaching. |
| 8 | The course gave insights into the working of the real operating system. The course plan was very systematic. |
| 9 | OS was earlier a black box to me. This course taught me a lot about the intricacies of OS and systems programming. |
| 10 | allll |
| 11 | The course was very informative. |
| 12 | Coding, the structure of the course was so well defined , everyone knew exactly what meant what, evaluation was almost unbiased, The professor was fully involved in the course . |
| 13 | The lectures were imparted in an interesting manner. |
| 14 | content was good |
| 15 | EXTREMELY well structured. I don't think I have done a course quite this organised. |
| 16 | i liked the teacher, his way of teaching, much more than the course. |
| 17 | None |
| 18 | there was a lot to learn. |
| 19 | LABs |
| 20 | Everything |
| 21 | The courses content is very much interesting and is very well organised. |
| 22 | Awesome OS concepts. Many were spectacular. Professor taught exceptionally. |
| 23 | Nobody in IITD has touched his subject like Professor Sorav Bansal. It is an honour to have been taught by him. |
| 24 | None |
| 25 | Professor has great knowledge about the subject, teaching is excellent, and timely evaluations are icing on the cake. |

Section: General Comments

Question No: 2

Description: What did you dislike the most about this course?

| Feedback | |
|----------|---|
| 1 | too much workload |
| 2 | The homeworks and labs required significant amount of time. |
| 3 | Nothing. |
| 4 | Quite Time consuming. |
| 5 | Evaluation of papers and homeworks are not equivalent by all the TAs. Course load is too much. |
| 6 | Sometimes i found that TAs evaluate homework/minor solutions by finding keywords mentioned in the solutions and not understanding the answers. |
| 7 | nothing |
| 8 | Too heavy workload. Literally we were doing just OS the whole semester. |
| 9 | nothing |
| 10 | The marks of all the people are very very closely packed. Hope this will be taken into account during grading. |
| 11 | Nothing |
| 12 | assignments too heavy |
| 13 | I hated the checking done by the TAs of this course. They did more of string matching rather than semantic analysis. Certainly scope for improvement there. |
| 14 | Too heavy assignment. |
| 15 | lab took much time. |
| 16 | None |
| 17 | Unfortunately, couldn't learn much, somethings were too fast, and there wasn't sufficient time to learn it all. |
| 18 | major--> No logical questions,most of them were just copy-paste from notes. minors were really good Its too heavy. I don't know what should be done to make this a course simpler because each and every topic taught in this course was important. Number of credits can be increased to compensate the difficulty of this course. 5 credits are too less for a course like OS. Its a 3-0-4 course right now, it should be at-least made 3-0-8. |
| 19 | Too much workload. Evaluations are subjective. If some guy has done more work than the others, then the others should not be given less marks. It should be clearly defined what is expected from each component, and if the student meets those conditions, then he should be given full marks. It should not matter if some person has too much time and has implemented some part of the linux kernel as assignment, then you should not penalize others for not meeting that expectation. |
| 20 | The checking of answer scripts could be more about understanding the student's answer rather than sticking strictly to Sir's model answers. Some answers are different. But, they are correct. This was not recognized in this course. |
| 21 | None |
| 22 | Work load too much. |

Section: General Comments**Question No:** 3**Description:** Any Suggestions or comments about the course

| Feedback | |
|----------|---|
| 1 | No. |
| 2 | The emulator worked extremely slow on our local machine. If palasi could be accessible from hostel 24 hours, this would have greatly saved our time. |
| 3 | Course should be divided into two parts and taught over two semesters for the students to be able to better understand the course. |
| 4 | Everything from lectures to grading was managed nicely. Please be quick about rechecking of scripts. |
| 5 | no... |
| 6 | Please reduce Labs & Hws By far, one of the best courses I've done in IIT. Sorav Bansal sir has thorough knowledge of the subject and addresses all doubts in class. I enjoyed the lectures a lot. An awesome learning experience. |

8 no thanks
9 No, just what was mentioned in the above question.
10 Best Course. Learnt a lot from it
11 maybe the vm assignment could be removed...it was not even related to the course.
12 A very good course overall!
13 None
14 i m not sure, but maybe make this course either of lesser load, or of higher credits, a lot higher, like 7 or 8
15 it is the best course among all the courses i did.
16 5 credits are too less for a course like OS. Its a 3-0-4 course right now, it should be at-least made 3-0-8.
17 Would love to recommend it to anyone.
18 None
19 Reduce the work load, or else increase the no. of credits